


# Affin Hwang World Series - Global Climate Change Fund

Quarterly Report  
31 May 2021

Out **think.** Out **perform.**



**AFFIN HWANG**  
CAPITAL

**MANAGER**  
Affin Hwang Asset Management Berhad  
199701014290 (429786-T)

**TRUSTEE**  
Deutsche Trustees Malaysia Berhad  
200701005591 (763590-H)

# AFFIN HWANG WORLD SERIES – GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE FUND

## Quarterly Report and Financial Statements As at 31 May 2021

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
QUARTERLY REPORT .....	2
STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME .....	10
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION .....	11
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS .....	13

## QUARTERLY REPORT

### FUND INFORMATION

Fund Name	Affin Hwang World Series – Global Climate Change Fund
Fund Type	Growth
Fund Category	Feeder (Wholesale)
Investment Objective	The Fund seeks to achieve capital appreciation over the medium to long term period
Distribution Policy	The Fund is not expected to make distribution. However, incidental distribution may be declared whenever is appropriate

### FUND PERFORMANCE DATA

#### USD Class

Category	As at 31 May 2021
Total NAV (million)	1.404
NAV per Unit (USD)	0.4959
Unit in Circulation (million)	2.832

#### MYR-Hedged class

Category	As at 31 May 2021
Total NAV (million)	31.925
NAV per Unit (RM)	0.4953
Unit in Circulation (million)	64.459

#### SGD-Hedged class

Category	As at 31 May 2021
Total NAV (million)	0.485
NAV per Unit (SGD)	0.4929
Unit in Circulation (million)	0.983

#### AUD-Hedged class

Category	As at 31 May 2021
Total NAV (million)	0.473
NAV per Unit (AUD)	0.4919
Unit in Circulation (million)	0.962

Performance as at 31 May 2021


**USD Class**

Table 1: Performance as at 31 May 2021

	<b>Since Commencement (22/4/21 - 31/5/21)</b>
<b>Fund</b>	<b>(0.82%)</b>
<b>Benchmark</b>	<b>1.46%</b>
<b>Outperformance</b>	<b>(2.28%)</b>

Source of Benchmark: Bloomberg

Figure 1: Movement of the Fund versus the Benchmark




**AUD Hedged Class**

Table 1: Performance as at 31 May 2021

	<b>Since Commencement (22/4/21 - 31/5/21)</b>
<b>Fund</b>	<b>(1.62%)</b>
<b>Benchmark</b>	<b>1.61%</b>
<b>Outperformance</b>	<b>(3.23%)</b>

Source of Benchmark: Bloomberg

Figure 1: Movement of the Fund versus the Benchmark




**MYR Hedged Class**

Table 1: Performance as at 31 May 2021

	<b>Since Commencement (22/4/21 - 31/5/21)</b>
<b>Fund</b>	<b>(0.94%)</b>
<b>Benchmark</b>	<b>1.56%</b>
<b>Outperformance</b>	<b>(2.50%)</b>

Source of Benchmark: Bloomberg

Figure 1: Movement of the Fund versus the Benchmark




## SGD Hedged Class

Table 1: Performance as at 31 May 2021

	Since Commencement (22/4/21 - 31/5/21)
<b>Fund</b>	<b>(1.42%)</b>
<b>Benchmark</b>	<b>0.89%</b>
<b>Outperformance</b>	<b>(2.31%)</b>

Source of Benchmark: Bloomberg

Figure 1: Movement of the Fund versus the Benchmark



*"This information is prepared by Affin Hwang Asset Management Berhad (AFFINHWANGAM) for information purposes only. Past earnings or the fund's distribution record is not a guarantee or reflection of the fund's future earnings/future distributions. Investors are advised that unit prices, distributions payable and investment returns may go down as well as up. Source of Benchmark is from Bloomberg."*

Benchmark: MSCI World Index

**Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future performance and that Unit prices and investment returns may go down, as well as up.**

## Asset Allocation

Fund's asset mix during the period under review:

	<b>31 May 2021</b>
	(%)
Unit Trust	97.30
Derivative	-0.05
Cash & money market	2.75
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.00</b>

## **Strategies Employed**

The fund retreated in February amid broadly higher US treasury yields. On a relative basis, the fund's strategy to underweight duration added value. An underweight at the belly of the curve also contributed to relative returns. Meanwhile, selection in credit from India and Indonesia also lifted relative performance, particularly those from the Indonesia property and India commodity sectors. Conversely, the fund's selection in the China property sector weighed on returns as the sector saw increased volatility amid concerns over further policy tightening. Selection in the Indonesia quasi sovereign and China TMT sectors also did not help.

In February, we have trimmed exposure to selected long-dated investment grade names as they became expensive. At the same time, we continue to add to the more cyclical sectors given an improving global economic outlook. Of note, we have added to the Indonesia property and coal, as well as India financial sectors.

In terms of overall portfolio allocation, we maintain our overweight in India and Indonesia corporates, particularly the utilities sector for its more defensive nature. In India, we are also overweight selected holdings in the commodity and financial sectors. In Indonesia, apart from the utilities sector, we also hold an overweight in the coal mining sector on an individual bond selection basis. At the same time, we have also retained our overweight in the China property sector. However, we are selective in this space and expect greater credit differentiation going forward given the current uncertain macro backdrop. On the other hand, we are underweight sectors where we find valuations unattractive. For instance, we are underweight Philippines and Hong Kong. Similarly, we are also underweight sovereign and quasi sovereign bonds as well as bank subordinated debt. We continue to expect accommodative monetary policy stance in the US to buffer against the near-term economic downside risks. However, given the market optimism on global growth, we look to actively manage our duration exposure and have turned neutral to slight underweight in the fund's duration exposure.

## **Market Review**

Global equities started 2021 on a strong note as policy easing, and global vaccine rollouts went underway. At the start of January, US markets saw strong optimism. The storming of the US Capitol in early January had little effect over the broader market. However, a black swan event at the end of the month saw retail investors selectively targeting underperforming stocks (e.g. GME and AMC) that resulted in a short squeeze and pushing their share prices to new highs.

US equity markets ended the month of February with modest gains though sentiment was tested as surging bond yields and inflation fears took precedence. Whilst equities and bonds tend to move in opposite directions, the reality is that equity outperformance in the past year has largely come from growth-centric stocks and "stay at home" trades, which were facing short-term squeezes on their profit margins from rising rates. Another factor is the shift from growth to value, with value stocks performing better over the period.

Global equities endured a mixed session in March as US-China tensions boiled over dampening sentiment. The S&P 500 index rose 4.4% higher as the latest US labour data showed signs of a rebound. In Asia, the MSCI Asia ex-Japan index fell 2.5% as increased regulatory scrutiny prompted a selloff in Chinese technology stocks. The Hong Kong Hang Seng index dropped 1.8% in March. With recovery still in a fragile state, global central banks including the Fed are expected to keep monetary policy accommodative to nurse the economy through the pandemic. Meanwhile, rising bond yields continue to unsettle markets. Earnings season continues with technology and banking stocks leading way in terms of results. Banks are enjoying higher trading fees and lower provision costs as the economy gradually regains its footing.

Global markets shrugged off inflation fears to climb higher in June as investors start to buy-in to the Fed's dovish testimony. The S&P 500 index closed 2.4% higher reaching an all-time high of 4,280.70 points in the last week of the month. The Nasdaq index rose 5.6% buoyed by stimulus optimism after early indication of bipartisan support of the proposed US\$1.2 trillion infrastructure bill in the US Congress.

US Fed Chair, Jerome Powell testified in the House of Representatives to reiterate the central bank's view that inflation is transitory and that the FOMC will not rush to hike rates. The yield on the 10-year Treasury note tumbled from 1.60% to close at 1.46% in June as the Fed affirmed that it would not pre-emptively hike rates before signs of a recovery.

In Asia, the MSCI Asia ex-Japan index was marginally weaker down 1.1% in June as sentiment was dampened on geopolitical tensions and consolidation seen in China's economy. The Group of Seven ("G7")

nations and North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (“NATO”) held its annual summit in June with political leaders from US and Europe vowing to toughen its stance on China.

China had also reported weaker than expected retail sales and industrial production data. Industrial production grew 8.8% y-o-y in May, which came below consensus expectations of 9.2%. Weaker upstream production as well as a power shortage in the Yunan province which is an aluminium production hub led to lower factory output. May retail sales which rose 12.4% y-o-y also fell market expectations of 14.0%. However, weaker economic data could push back any chance of further tightening in China as policymakers look to sustain growth.

The commodity market saw multiple consecutive periods of increased market inflow, particularly across crude oil, grain & oilseed, and livestock markets in January. This rally continued in February, as copper saw its largest monthly gain in over four years and oil prices hitting new one-year highs. The S&P GSCI posted a return on 14.15% in the quarter. Overall, the commodities saw strong return in 1H2021, buoyed by vaccination programs and normalization of the economy.

In February and March, the local equity market’s performance was muted relative to regional peers, gaining by 0.8% and 1.0% in the respective months. February was also results season in the local market, with the tech sector largely reporting earnings beat on the back of robust demand. Meanwhile, Finance Minister Tengku Zafrul clarified in an interview that the government is not looking to impose capital gains tax on stocks. The government is mulling new taxes to widen its revenue base once the economy is on a more stable footing.

The Malaysian bond market saw an unwinding of “rate cut” bets at the end of January following BNM’s announcement to keep interest rates unchanged at 1.75% highlighting that recovery of the local economy was underway. The shorter-end of the MGS curve saw yields rise whilst longer-tenured papers remained resilient that month.

Yields trended higher in tandem with US treasury movement in the quarter. Undoubtedly, the performance of the local bond market has been subpar since the start of the year; especially given the volatility in US Treasury yields, as well as the lack of support from EPF due to its ongoing commitment to the i-Sinar and i-Lestari programme. However, we were seeing some demand returning in March, as more investors – including insurance players and asset managers – are seen nibbling into the market given the more attractive yield levels.

Benchmark KLCI fell 3.2% in June as daily new COVID-19 cases remain stubbornly high. Phase One of the movement control under the National Recovery Plan (“NRP”), which was initially scheduled to end on 28 June 2021 has been extended as cases has not fallen below the 4,000 threshold.

To provide aid to affected communities and businesses, the government unveiled the PEMULIH stimulus package worth RM150 billion. However, the direct fiscal injection of the stimulus package amounts to RM10 billion which includes cash handouts targeted at lower income groups as well as salary subsidies. The Prime Minister also announced an opt-in blanket loan moratorium for individual borrowers as well as the i-Citra initiative to allow contributors to withdraw up to RM5,000 from their EPF as a lifeline for households.

The local bond market was similarly in a cautious mood ahead of the Fed’s meeting and persistently high cases in the country. The 10-year MGS benchmark yield edged 7bps higher in the month to close at 3.28%. Malaysia’s GDP forecast was slashed from 6.0% to 4.0% given the extension in lockdown.

With that, fiscal deficit is expected to breach above the government’s target of 6.0%. With the newly unveiled PEMULIH stimulus package, we could see the debt ceiling be raised to 65.0% from 60.0%. This could translate to more government bond supplies, which may put further pressure on Malaysia’s sovereign rating.

On the monetary policy side, Bank Negara Malaysia (BNM) may see more pressure to further slash the Overnight Policy Rate (“OPR”) to cushion the economic impact of COVID-19. Malaysia’s inflation figure came in at 4.4% in May 2021 as compared to the 4.7% in the preceding month.

## **Investment Outlook**

Global markets have rallied sharply from their lowest, supported by fiscal and monetary stimulus. The economy is still recovering, albeit at a slow pace, as consumers’ confidence return. Recovery plays will be the most obvious theme for the year of improving macroeconomic conditions and the inevitable rollout of

vaccines has everyone looking to the future and letting go of 2020's miseries. We have already seen the rotation from growth to value take place in the start of 2021.

Earnings momentum will continue in 2021 with earnings growth projected to rise by 38.0% in Asia ex-Japan (ex-Financials) according to estimates by some sell-side analysts. Key sectors leading the recovery include the energy, consumer discretionary and industrials. Though, this is tempered by moderately higher valuations which has crept up above historical averages. Liquidity conditions remain positive and with earnings visibility from Asian companies that are riding along a China-led economic recovery, Asia continues to be an ocean of growth potential as the region continues to grow.

Back home, economic recovery continues to be delayed by Covid-19 as cases surge throughout the country. From a bottom-up perspective, the domestic focus will be on the recovery beneficiaries and exporters in the technology and EMS segment which have strong earnings visibility in the near term as well increasing demand.

ESG funds have also been gathering plenty of interest and we will expect this investor shift to ESG-focused funds to be more commonplace across the industry. The Biden administration's foray into building stronger climate change initiatives also signals a shift for the investment industry as this will generate more opportunities across asset classes. The wider implications of this on the economy will take time to materialise, but will continue to be observed.

## AFFIN HWANG WORLD SERIES – GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE FUND

### STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE FINANCIAL PERIOD ENDED 31 MAY 2021

Financial  
period ended  
31.5.2021  
USD

#### INVESTMENT LOSS

Interest income from financial assets at amortised cost	732
Net gain on foreign currency exchange	2,650
Net loss on forward foreign currency contracts at fair value through profit or loss	(5,245)
Net loss on financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	(12,712)
	<hr/>
	(14,575)

#### EXPENSES

Management fee	(14,756)
Trustee fee	(328)
Auditors' remuneration	(626)
Tax agent's fee	(258)
Other expenses	(690)
	<hr/>
	(16,658)

#### NET LOSS BEFORE TAXATION

(31,233)

Taxation

-

#### DECREASE IN NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS

---

(31,233)

Decrease of net asset attributable to unitholders  
is made up of the following:

Realised amount	(3,957)
Unrealised amount	(27,276)
	<hr/>
	(31,233)

## AFFIN HWANG WORLD SERIES – GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE FUND

### STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AS AT 31 MAY 2021

2021  
USD

#### ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents	732,840
Amount due from Manager	
- creation of units	308,681
- management fee rebate receivable	9,038
Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	9,613,131
Forward foreign currency contracts at fair value through profit or loss	8,086
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>10,671,776</b>

#### LIABILITIES

Forward foreign currency contracts at fair value through profit or loss	13,331
Amount due to broker	765,000
Amount due to Manager	
- management fee	12,090
Amount due to Trustee	269
Auditors' remuneration	626
Tax agent's fee	258
Other payables and accruals	147
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES (EXCLUDING NET ASSET ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS)</b>	<b>791,721</b>

**NET ASSET VALUE OF THE FUND** **9,880,055**

**NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS** **9,880,055**

## AFFIN HWANG WORLD SERIES – GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE FUND

### STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AS AT 31 MAY 2021 (CONTINUED)

2021  
USD

#### REPRESENTED BY:

#### FAIR VALUE OF OUTSTANDING UNITS

- AUD Hedged-class	366,551
- MYR Hedged-class	7,742,158
- SGD Hedged-class	367,001
- USD Class	1,404,345
	<hr/>
	9,880,055
	<hr/> <hr/>

#### NUMBER OF UNITS IN CIRCULATION

- AUD Hedged-class	962,000
- MYR Hedged-class	64,459,000
- SGD Hedged-class	983,000
- USD Class	2,832,000
	<hr/>
	69,236,000
	<hr/> <hr/>

#### NET ASSET VALUE PER UNIT (USD)

- AUD Hedged-class	0.3810
- MYR Hedged-class	0.1201
- SGD Hedged-class	0.3733
- USD Class	0.4959
	<hr/>
	<hr/> <hr/>

#### NET ASSET VALUE PER UNIT IN RESPECTIVE CURRENCIES

- AUD Hedged-class	AUD0.4919
- MYR Hedged-class	RM0.4953
- SGD Hedged-class	SGD0.4929
- USD Class	USD0.4959
	<hr/>
	<hr/> <hr/>

## AFFIN HWANG WORLD SERIES – GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE FUND

### STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDER FOR THE FINANCIAL PERIOD ENDED 31 MAY 2021

Financial  
period ended  
31.5.2021  
USD

#### NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS AT THE DATE OF LAUNCH

-

Movement due to units created and cancelled during  
the financial period

Creation of units arising from applications

9,911,288

- AUD Hedged-class
- MYR Hedged-class
- SGD Hedged-class
- USD Class

368,454
7,773,410
365,063
1,404,361

Net decrease in net assets attributable to  
unitholders during the financial period

(31,233)

- AUD Hedged-class
- MYR Hedged-class
- SGD Hedged-class
- USD Class

(1,903)
(31,252)
1,938
(16)

#### NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS AT THE END OF THE FINANCIAL PERIOD

9,880,055

[www.affinhwangam.com](http://www.affinhwangam.com)

Affin Hwang Asset Management Berhad  
199701014290 (429786-T)